UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/748,400	12/30/2003	Frederick Schuessler	S81.01403	7568
22917 MOTOROLA, I	7590 07/10/200 INC.	9	EXAM	INER
1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196			PLUCINSKI, JAMISUE A	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/10/2009	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

Docketing.US@motorola.com

1	UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE
2	
3	
4	BEFORE THE BOARD OF PATENT APPEALS
5	AND INTERFERENCES
6	
7	
8	Ex parte FREDERICK SCHUESSLER
9	
10	
1	Appeal 2008-004668
12	Application 10/748,400
13	Technology Center 3600
14	
15	1
16	Decided: July 9, 2009
17	
18	
19	Before MURRIEL E. CRAWFORD, HUBERT C. LORIN, and
20	ANTON W. FETTING, Administrative Patent Judges.
21	
22	CRAWFORD, Administrative Patent Judge.
23	
24	DECICION ON A DDE A I
25	DECISION ON APPEAL

¹ The two-month time period for filing an appeal or commencing a civil action, as recited in 37 C.F.R. § 1.304, begins to run from the decided date shown on this page of the decision. The time period does not run from the Mail Date (paper delivery) or Notification Date (electronic delivery).

1	STATEMENT OF THE CASE
2	Appellant appeals under 35 U.S.C. § 134 (2002) from a Final
3	Rejection of claims 1-24. We have jurisdiction under 35 U.S.C. § 6(b)
4	(2002).
5	Appellant invented systems and methods for providing a user with a
6	personalized shipment system (Abstract).
7	Claim 1, reproduced below, is further illustrative of the claimed
8	subject matter:
9	1. A method for providing a user with a
10	personalized shipment system, comprising:
11	registering a user by obtaining user data;
12	associating the user data with a unique user
13	identifier;
14	generating label data for each of a plurality
15	of labels, each label including a unique label
16	identifier in machine language;
17	associating the label identifier with the user
18	identifier in a computer database;
19	receiving an item to be shipped including
20	one of the labels and recipient data located on the
21	item, the recipient data including a destination data
21 22 23	of the item;
23	determining whether the destination data is
24	in a machine language;
25	translating, when the destination data is not
26	in a machine language, the destination data into
26 27 28	machine language destination data;
	obtaining the unique label identifier and the
29	machine language destination data from the item
30	using a machine capable of reading the machine
31	language during the shipment of the item;

Appeal 2008-004668 Application 10/748,400

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	data based on to identifier and to tracking data in shipping status providin request, where using only the data including	g in the computer database trache machine language unique la he machine language data, the neluding information regarding of the item; and g the tracking data in response in the tracking data is provided user identifier and the destination the request.	abel g a g to a l ion
12	appeal is:	on by the Examiner in rejection	ing the claims on
13 14 15 16 17	Wilz Bilibin Reiter	US 6,394,354 B1 US 2005/0197892 A1 US 7,062,474 B1 d claims 1-24 under 35 U.S.C.	May 28, 2002 Sep. 8, 2005 Jun. 13, 2006
18	unpatentable over Wilz in v	iew of Reiter and Bilibin.	
19	We AFFIRM.		
20			
21		ISSUE	
22	Did the Appellant sho	ow the Examiner erred in findi	ng that the
23	inbound package tracking o	ption of Bilibin corresponds to	providing the
24	tracking data in response to	a request, the tracking data be	ing provided using
25	only the user identifier and	the destination data included in	n the request, as
26	recited in independent claim	ns 1 and 13?	
27			
28]	FINDINGS OF FACT	
29	Specification		
30	Appellant invented sy	stems and methods for provid	ing a user with a
31	personalized shipment syste	em (Abstract).	

1	System 1 provides a user with an easy-to-use Graphical User Interface
2	("GUI"). Sender 10/Recipient 20 does not have to know the tracking number
3	70 of each envelope 40 that is being sent/received; in other words, the
4	tracking number 70 may be "invisible." By using the Sender's account
5	number 72 or the destination data 76, one may track the movements of the
6	envelope 40. This allows not only the tracking of the movements of the
7	envelope that is sent, e.g., by Sender 10, but also allows Recipient 20 to
8	track movement of the envelopes that are being sent to Recipient 20. Thus,
9	an advance notice to Recipient 20 may be provided that he should be
10	expecting the envelope 40 (Spec. [0032]).
11	
12	Bilibin
13	Bilibin discloses computer systems for parcel shipment management
14	([0002]).
15	A User logins into System 1 using a user log in identification and
16	password ([0148]).
17	The System identifies a particular package shipped using the System
18	via a system tracking number that is a unique tracking number generated
19	internally by the System ([0414]).
20	A logged on User can view inbound packages if the User first
21	identifies to the System package tracking numbers for each of the packages
22	the status of which the User is interested in monitoring. The User does this
23	by clicking on the "Add Inbound Package" option 2113, and then inputting
24	identification information, such as the tracking number 19 in the input
25	tracking number field 2035. The user then clicks on the "Add" button 2165,

1	and the inputted record is then added to a list for that User of Inbound
2	Packages to be monitored (Figs. 72, 80; [0482]).
3	Once the User has input the identification information for the
4	packages the status of which the User wants to monitor, and added all such
5	records to the User's Inbound Tracking List, the User can then view the
6	status of those identified inbound packages. To do so, the user clicks on the
7	"View Inbound Packages" option 2112 of the "My Tracking" submenu 2110
8	(Fig. 81; [0483]).
9	
10	PRINCIPLES OF LAW
11	During examination of a patent application, a pending claim is given
12	the broadest reasonable construction consistent with the specification and
13	should be read in light of the specification as it would be interpreted by one
14	of ordinary skill in the art. In re Am. Acad. of Sci. Tech. Ctr., 367 F.3d
15	1359, 1364 (Fed. Cir. 2004).
16	While the specification can be examined for proper context of a claim
17	term, limitations from the specification will not be imported into the claims.
18	CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc., 418 F.3d 1225, 1231 (Fed. Cir.
19	2005).
20	
21	ANALYSIS
22	We are not persuaded of error on the part of the Examiner by
23	Appellant's argument that the inbound package tracking option of Bilibin
24	does not correspond to providing the tracking data in response to a request,
25	the tracking data being provided using only the user identifier and the
26	destination data included in the request, as recited in independent claims 1

1 and 13 (Appeal Br. 8-11). The Appellant first asserts that Bilibin does not 2 disclose tracking data being provided using only the user identifier and 3 destination data, because Bilibin also requires a password in order to login to 4 the system (Appeal Br. 8). However, once a user is logged into the system, 5 the system utilizes the permissions associated with the user identifier, and 6 not the password, to retrieve data. While the Appellant further asserts that 7 the password is intimately tied to the user account, and thus the tracking 8 data, there is no indication in Bilibin that this is the case once a user has 9 logged into the system (Reply Br. 2-3). 10 The Appellant next asserts that once a user has logged into the system, 11 the user identifier is no longer used by the system, and thus any request for 12 tracking data does not include the user identifier (Appeal Br. 8-9). However, every user-initiated transaction in Bilibin is inherently tied to the user 13 identifier, otherwise the system would be giving the user unfettered access to 14 15 all the data it possesses, including other users' data. Accordingly, the user 16 identifier is necessarily present in system transactions, such as the tracking 17 data request. The Appellant additionally asserts that Bilibin utilizes tracking 18 19 numbers to request tracking data, and not destination data (Appeal Br. 9-10). 20 However, when a user clicks the "View Inbound Packages" option 2112 of 21 the "My Tracking" submenu 2110, the user is, in essence, entering the 22 location where the user receives packages. This location is "destination 23 data" under a broadest reasonable interpretation. See In re Am. Acad. of Sci. 24 Tech. Ctr., 367 F.3d 1364. Thus, when combined with the underlying user 25 permissions present in every transaction, Bilibin does disclose tracking data 26 being provided using only the user identifier and destination data.

Appeal 2008-004668 Application 10/748,400

1	The Appellant counter-asserts that the user is required to enter
2	tracking number data prior to clicking "View Inbound Packages," and thus
3	when clicking "View Inbound Packages," the system is still using tracking
4	numbers to provide the tracking data (Reply Br. 3-4). As an initial matter,
5	the claim does not recite that a previously entered tracking number cannot be
6	used as the underlying mechanism for providing tracking data where the
7	tracking data request included the user's current location or "destination
8	data." See CollegeNet, Inc. v. ApplyYourself, Inc., 418 F.3d at 1231.
9	Furthermore, Appellant's own Specification notes that the tracking number
10	70 may be "invisible" to the Sender 10/Recipient 20, and does not provide
11	the mechanics on exactly how only the Sender's account number 72 or
12	destination data 76 is used to provide tracking data. Accordingly, absent any
13	indication as to how only the user identifier and destination data is used to
14	provide tracking data without the use of tracking numbers, the "invisible"
15	use of the previously entered tracking number when the user clicks "View
16	Inbound Packages" in Bilibin is sufficient to meet the aspect of providing
17	tracking data using only the user identifier and the destination data included
18	in the request, as recited in independent claims 1 and 13.
19	
20	CONCLUSION
21	The Appellant has failed to show that the Examiner erred in rejecting
22	claims 1-24.
23	No time period for taking any subsequent action in connection with
24	this appeal may be extended under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136(a)(1)(iv) (2007).
25	
26	<u>AFFIRMED</u>

Appeal 2008-004668 Application 10/748,400

1	
2	hh
3	
4 5 6 7 8	MOTOROLA, INC. 1303 EAST ALGONQUIN ROAD IL01/3RD SCHAUMBURG, IL 60196
9	